



(12) **United States Patent**
Amid et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,524,466 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** **Dec. 20, 2016**

(54) **AUTOMATED MULTI-OBJECTIVE SOLUTION SELECTION**

7,593,834 B2	9/2009	Levitan et al.
7,664,622 B2	2/2010	Ruetsch
7,742,902 B1	6/2010	Ruetsch
7,979,365 B2*	7/2011	Goldberg G06N 99/005 706/13
8,041,545 B2	10/2011	Sevastyanov et al.
2005/0143845 A1*	6/2005	Kaji G05B 13/0265 700/28
2007/0005313 A1*	1/2007	Sevastyanov G06F 17/11 703/2
2008/0234994 A1*	9/2008	Goebel G06F 17/5009 703/7
2008/0312895 A1*	12/2008	Sastry G06F 19/701 703/12

(71) Applicant: **International Business Machines Corporation**, Armonk, NY (US)

(72) Inventors: **David Amid**, Kiryat Ata (IL); **Ateret Anaby-Tavor**, Givat Ada (IL); **Shahar Chen**, Haifa (IL); **Oded Margalit**, Ramat Gan (IL); **Ofer Shir**, Jerusalem (IL)

(73) Assignee: **International Business Machines Corporation**, Armonk, NY (US)

(Continued)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 372 days.

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Multiple Criteria Analysis of Discrete Alternatives with a Simple Preference Specification: Pairwise-outperformance based Approaches, by Granat, published 2009.*

(Continued)

(21) Appl. No.: **14/305,009**

(22) Filed: **Jun. 16, 2014**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2015/0363703 A1 Dec. 17, 2015

Primary Examiner — Stanley K Hill
Assistant Examiner — Thomas Fink
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Ziu Glazeberg

(51) **Int. Cl.**
G06N 7/00 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC **G06N 7/00** (2013.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
CPC G06N 7/00
See application file for complete search history.

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A method, apparatus and product for automated multi-objective solution selection. The method comprises obtaining a set of solutions to a multi-objective problem, wherein each solution is a non-dominated solution. The method further comprises computing outranking weights for each pair of solutions and selecting a subset of the set of solutions based upon a defensive criteria in with respect to the outranking weights, wherein the defensive criteria relates to a number of solutions that outrank the subset. The method further comprises outputting the subset of the set of solutions.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,307,903 A * 5/1994 Morita B66B 1/18
187/380
7,536,364 B2 5/2009 Subbu et al.

19 Claims, 2 Drawing Sheets

